Living much longer in the future will be of great benefit to everyone.

To what extent do you agree with the statement?

Amin

From the very beginning of life, what even a child is concerned about is nothing but the period of his life, showing the paramount importance it has to humans. Believing in the drastic achievements in the medical disciplines, many people, today, tend to maintain, contrary to previous generations, those in the future will enjoy longer life. This essay focuses on whether it is fruitful for the next generations to live for a longer period of time.

Trying to shed light on the development brought about as a result of technological advancement, medical authorities posit that improved longevity for humans will yield excessively positive economic advantages. According to numerous, recent studies conducted by interdisciplinary scientists, there is a great deal of evidence to suggest that a more extensive life has a direct correlation with economic development. Drawing on the researchers' conclusions, politicians are trying to expand the span every employee works in a company in order to raise his income, which may grow his possessions.

A group of sociologists, however, assert that only when the next generations can benefit from this longevity/the outcomes in this respect, do the advantages predominate its-the drawbacks. This idea has originated from a hypothesis proving that the current population growth, resulting from enhanced longevity, society will have to struggle with tackle the indispensable, albeit depleted, natural resources. Based on the common sense, if earth's fertile supplies will, one day, be are used up, another world war is entirely likely to begin.

Appealing to what happened ensuing from the Industrial Revolution, a vast majority of environmentalists, on the other hand, contend that densely populated colonies on every spot on earth, to a great degree, pollute the environment. Although it seems like a great attainment for some time, long life for humans will result in an ecosystem destruction created by intensively industrial activities.

To put in a nutshell, in my submission, <u>not</u> everyone will feel satisfaction with improved longevity in the next century, at least, unless they devise a sensible way to overcome subsequent troubles. People in the future may be <u>supposed-imagined</u> as if their life-style

will have been improved, but other problems will be arisenarise due, in part, to the lack of essential resources for a living.